



Staff: DR. P SAVITHA

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 71.64

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	19	37.25%	337.25
	1. Subject Kilowiedge	Good	14.13	15	29.41%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	12	23.53%	123.36
		Poor	3.53	4	7.84%	14.12
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.96%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	27.45%	203
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	23.53%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	13	25.49%	105.43
		Poor	2.01	11	21.57%	22.11
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.96%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15	29.41%	112.5
		Good	5.71	16	31.37%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	13	25.49%	52.52
		Poor	1.13	6	11.76%	6.78
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.96%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	49.02%	146.25
	4. Approximate 70 of classes (VOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	12	23.53%	43.92
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	13	25.49%	21.32
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	33	64.71%	132
		Yes	0.67	9	17.65%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	17.65%	14.85
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	25.49%	120.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	20	39.22%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	11	21.57%	27.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	7	13.73%	22.12
	2 51-6	Just Right	4.75	29	56.86%	137.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	12	23.53%	16.08
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	19.61%	14
	2. Daniel de de alemane	Yes	6.88	27	52.94%	185.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	27.45%	54.46
		No	0.74	5	9.8%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	9.8%	8.9
	4 Delegain - Calca Accelera	Pleasant	6.75	33	64.71%	222.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	9.8%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	6	11.76%	7.68
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	13.73%	13.58
	5 Cincepity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36	70.59%	414
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	15.69%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	4	7.84%	16.12
		Excellent	11.25	16	31.37%	180
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	25.49%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	14	27.45%	90.44
		Poor	1.56	6	11.76%	9.36
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: DR.SOUMYA RANI.D

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 85.39

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	54.9%	497
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	16	31.37%	226.0
		Fair	10.28	5	9.8%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	49.02%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	20	39.22%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	4	7.84%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	52.94%	202.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	16	31.37%	91.30
		Fair	4.04	5	9.8%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	5.88%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	31	60.78%	181.3
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	13.73%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.88%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	19.61%	16.4
		No	4.00	36	70.59%	144
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	9	17.65%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.76%	9.9
	1 Tarahaman Hiller ta annonina lantana	Excellent	9.25	26	50.98%	240.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	21	41.18%	133.
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.96%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.88%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	41	80.39%	194.
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.88%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	13.73%	9.8
		Yes	6.88	41	80.39%	282.0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	11.76%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	1.96%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.88%	5.34
		Pleasant	6.75	40	78.43%	270
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.84%	11.1
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.92%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.28	5	9.8%	9.7
		Sincere	11.50	43	84.31%	494.
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.92%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.84%	20.2
				2		+
		Blank	4.03		3.92%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	28	54.9%	315
		Good	9.03	16	31.37%	144.
		Fair	6.46	4	7.84%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	1.96%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 82.11

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	56.86%	514.75
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	11	21.57%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	8	15.69%	82.24
		Poor	3.53	1	1.96%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	41.18%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	35.29%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	6	11.76%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	4	7.84%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	24	47.06%	180
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	19	37.25%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	5	9.8%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	1	1.96%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	66.67%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	11.76%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	19.61%	16.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	38	74.51%	152
		Yes	0.67	6	11.76%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	13.73%	11.55
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	54.9%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	17	33.33%	107.78
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.88%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.88%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	33	64.71%	156.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	11	21.57%	14.74
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	13.73%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	35	68.63%	240.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	10	19.61%	38.9
		No	0.74	3	5.88%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.88%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	38	74.51%	256.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.84%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	9.8%	6.4
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.84%	7.76
	5 Cin	Sincere	11.50	40	78.43%	460
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	11.76%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	2	3.92%	8.06
	6 Overall Teaching offsetives	Excellent	11.25	26	50.98%	292.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	27.45%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	8	15.69%	51.68
		Poor	1.56	1	1.96%	1.56
			2.03	2	3.92%	4.06
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.0

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: AKSHAI RAJ

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 82.82

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	50.98%	461.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	25.49%	183.6
		Fair	10.28	10	19.61%	102.8
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	49.02%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	25.49%	153.5
		Fair	8.11	9	17.65%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	2	3.92%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	2 T 1 W'''' 1 H 1	Excellent	7.50	27	52.94%	202.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	11	21.57%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	8	15.69%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	3	5.88%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
		Less than 10	5.85	34	66.67%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.84%	14.6
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.92%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	21.57%	18.0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	72.55%	148
		Yes	0.67	8	15.69%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.76%	9.9
		Excellent	9.25	25	49.02%	231.2
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	20	39.22%	126.
		Inadequate	2.50	20	3.92%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.84%	12.6
		Just Right	4.75	38	74.51%	180.
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast		7		
			1.34		13.73%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	11.76%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	70.59%	247.
		Some Times	3.89	9	17.65%	35.0
		No Control of the Indian	0.74	3	5.88%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.88%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	78.43%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	4	7.84%	11.1
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	7.84%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.88%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	86.27%	506
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.88%	15.1
		Blank	4.03	1	1.96%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	49.02%	281.
	5	Good	9.03	15	29.41%	135.
		Fair	6.46	7	13.73%	45.2
		Poor	1.56	2	3.92%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Staff: DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 89.44

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	68.63%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	17.65%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	4	7.84%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.96%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	70.59%	522
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	15.69%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	5	9.8%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	70.59%	270
		Good	5.71	8	15.69%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	4	7.84%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.96%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	32	62.75%	187.2
	4. Approximate 70 of classes (NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.88%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.84%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	12	23.53%	19.68
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	84.31%	172
		Yes	0.67	2	3.92%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.76%	9.9
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	70.59%	333
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	25.49%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.92%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	88.24%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.96%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.8%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	78.43%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.73%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	3.92%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.92%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45	88.24%	303.75
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.92%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.92%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.92%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	90.2%	529
	3. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.96%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.92%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	3.92%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	39	76.47%	438.75
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	13.73%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	3	5.88%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: MUHAMMED SHAHANAS N

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 51** Overall Score: 85.97

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	62.75%	568
	1. Subject Kilowicage	Good	14.13	12	23.53%	169.50
		Fair	10.28	5	9.8%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
ŀ	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	60.78%	449.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	21.57%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	6	11.76%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	1	1.96%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	60.78%	232.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	10	19.61%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	8	15.69%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4.A. ' + 0/ C.I. NOT. 11 4 + 1	Less than 10	5.85	34	66.67%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	11.76%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.92%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	17.65%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	72.55%	148
		Yes	0.67	7	13.73%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	13.73%	11.55
2	1. 77 - 1 1 112 - 4 1 - 1 - 4	Excellent	9.25	32	62.75%	296
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	15	29.41%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.96%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.88%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	38	74.51%	180.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	6	11.76%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	13.73%	9.8
		Yes	6.88	39	76.47%	268.32
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	15.69%	31.12
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.84%	7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	40	78.43%	270
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	11.76%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.92%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.28	3	5.88%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	40	78.43%	460
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	9.8%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	3	5.88%	12.09
						-
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	64.71%	371.25
		Good	9.03	11	21.57%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	5	9.8%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	and Weekness	Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.